

Academic Senate Minutes

Date: Friday April 24, 2015

Time/Location: 1-3 PM / Room 113

	Senate Roles and Responsibilities (The 10+1)				
1.	Curriculum, including establishing prerequisites	7.	Faculty roles and involvement in accreditation		
	and placing courses within disciplines.		processes, including self-study and annual reports		
2.	Degree and certificate requirements	8.	Policies for faculty professional development activities		
3.	Grading policies	9.	Processes for program review		
4.	Educational program development	10.	Processes for institutional planning and budget		
5.	Standards or policies regarding student		development		
	preparation and success	11.	. Other academic and professional matters as mutually		
6.	District and college governance structures, as		agreed upon between the governing board and the		
	related to faculty roles		academic senate		

<u>Senators:</u> Matt Clark (President), Donna McGill-Cameron (CTE), Donna Bahneman (Adjunct), Kevin Ferns (Secretary, FaLaHum), Greg Gassman (Social Science), Pam Geer (Adjunct At-large), Christopher Howerton (At-large), Cheryl Latimer (Student Services)

Absent: Talwinder Chetra

Guests: President White

Call to order at 1:09 p.m.

Item	Description-Type	Lead	Background and Objective		
1	Approval of Agenda -Action		Approve agenda of 4/24/15 as amended (MSC		
· ·			Bahneman/Howerton).		
			Guests are welcome to comment on any item on the agenda		
u II	Public Comment		or not on the agenda. For items on the agenda, they may		
			comment now or during the discussion of that item.		
	Discussion: None	Discussion: None			
	Approval of Minutes-Action		Review and approve the minutes of 4/17/15.		
111	Discussion/Decision:				
	1. The minutes for 4/17/15 are approved as amended. (MSC Howerton/Gassman)				
	President's Report -	Clark			
	Information/Discussion				
IV	Discussion/Decision:				
IV	1. Clark reports that the BAT meeting was cancelled this week.				
	2. The second senate/administration meeting was also cancelled. This is a concern considering				
	convocation is coming up and planning needs to take place.				
	Committee Appointments -	Senate	Objective : Appoint faculty members to committees.		
v	Action		Objective. Appoint faculty members to committees.		
	Discussion/Decision: None				
VI	Senate/Committee Reports -	Senate			
	Information				

	Discussion/Decision:			
	1. Geer reports that the CCOF task force still has not met. She would like to know why this group has			
	not been able to meet. Also, she would like to know what happened to the great ideas that came out			
	of the initial meeting of the CCOF community summit. President White responds that the CCOF will			
			as we assess facilities and programs. The Title V submission,	
	due May 19, is taking	•		
	2. McGill-Cameron reports that CTE has selected a representative to sit on the ag faculty hiring advisory			
	committee.			
	 Gassman would like to find out the status of the district consultant feedback regarding campus climate from 2012. 			
		unseling is unc	lerstaffed and that walk in traffic is heavy for registration for	
	next semester.		icristance and that walk in traine is neavy for registration for	
	5. Latimer reports that D	. Rodriguez has	been asked by VP Konuwa to write for a Perkins grant and was	
			ne grant was focused on counseling and other student services	
			unseling and those programs. It was then discovered they were	
		-	rant. Howerton adds that a faculty member was asked to give	
	feedback on this docu			
	-		of the SLO Lane is out and has received positive feedback.	
	 Howerton reports that on the curriculum han 		Committee is currently assessing the GE Pattern and working	
	on the curriculum han	Clark	Background: The Student Success Committee makes	
		Clark	Background. The Student Success Committee makes	
			recommendations to the senate and College Council	
			recommendations to the senate and College Council regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative	
	IFPI		regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative	
	IEPI		regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative targets. They have recommended changes in three targets.	
	ΙΕΡΙ		regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative	
	Discussion/Decision:		regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative targets. They have recommended changes in three targets. Objective : Consider recommendations and approve or return to Student Success Committee.	
	Discussion/Decision: 1. M. Senecal sent the fo		regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative targets. They have recommended changes in three targets. Objective : Consider recommendations and approve or return	
	Discussion/Decision: 1. M. Senecal sent the fo The changes recommended an	e:	regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative targets. They have recommended changes in three targets. Objective : Consider recommendations and approve or return to Student Success Committee. eport regarding the IEPI suggestions from Student Success.	
	Discussion/Decision: 1. M. Senecal sent the fo The changes recommended and Item 9 (degrees) - recommended	e: I changing from	regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative targets. They have recommended changes in three targets. Objective : Consider recommendations and approve or return to Student Success Committee. eport regarding the IEPI suggestions from Student Success.	
VII	Discussion/Decision: 1. M. Senecal sent the fo The changes recommended an Item 9 (degrees) - recommend Item 10 (certifications) - recor	e: I changing from nmend changin	regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative targets. They have recommended changes in three targets. Objective : Consider recommendations and approve or return to Student Success Committee. eport regarding the IEPI suggestions from Student Success. 190 to 220. Ing from 30 to 20.	
VII	Discussion/Decision: 1. M. Senecal sent the fo The changes recommended and Item 9 (degrees) - recommended Item 10 (certifications) - record Item 11 (transfers) - recommended	e: I changing from nmend changin nd changing fro	regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative targets. They have recommended changes in three targets. Objective : Consider recommendations and approve or return to Student Success Committee. eport regarding the IEPI suggestions from Student Success. 190 to 220. og from 30 to 20. om 110 to 200.	
VII	Discussion/Decision: 1. M. Senecal sent the for The changes recommended and Item 9 (degrees) - recommended Item 10 (certifications) - record Item 11 (transfers) - recommended We realize that items 9 and 11	e: I changing from nmend changin nd changing fro targets are still	regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative targets. They have recommended changes in three targets. Objective : Consider recommendations and approve or return to Student Success Committee. eport regarding the IEPI suggestions from Student Success. 190 to 220. 190 to 220. 190 to 20. 10 to 200. Hower than the average finding for 13-14, but we don't want to	
VII	Discussion/Decision: 1. M. Senecal sent the for The changes recommended and Item 9 (degrees) - recommended Item 10 (certifications) - record Item 11 (transfers) - recommended We realize that items 9 and 11 put the bar too high too quicklose	re: I changing from nmend changing nd changing fro targets are still y in case that yo	regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative targets. They have recommended changes in three targets. Objective : Consider recommendations and approve or return to Student Success Committee. eport regarding the IEPI suggestions from Student Success. 190 to 220. 190 to 220. 190 to 220. 100 to 20. 110 to 200. I lower than the average finding for 13-14, but we don't want to ear was a "harvest" year. Also, we don't want to lower the	
VII	Discussion/Decision: 1. M. Senecal sent the fo The changes recommended and Item 9 (degrees) - recommended Item 10 (certifications) - recorn Item 11 (transfers) - recommended We realize that items 9 and 11 put the bar too high too quickly certificate target too low, since	re: I changing from nmend changin nd changing fro targets are still y in case that yo e this is someth	regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative targets. They have recommended changes in three targets. Objective : Consider recommendations and approve or return to Student Success Committee. eport regarding the IEPI suggestions from Student Success. 190 to 220. 190 to 220. 190 to 20. 10 to 200. Hower than the average finding for 13-14, but we don't want to	
VII	Discussion/Decision: 1. M. Senecal sent the fo The changes recommended and Item 9 (degrees) - recommended Item 10 (certifications) - recorn Item 11 (transfers) - recommended We realize that items 9 and 11 put the bar too high too quickly certificate target too low, since	re: I changing from nmend changing nd changing fro targets are still y in case that yo this is someth SSP plans both I	regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative targets. They have recommended changes in three targets. Objective : Consider recommendations and approve or return to Student Success Committee. eport regarding the IEPI suggestions from Student Success. 190 to 220. 190 to 220. 190 to 220. 10 to 200. I lower than the average finding for 13-14, but we don't want to ear was a "harvest" year. Also, we don't want to lower the ing that CTE programs are actively working on now. ist the specific activities that the college is engaging in to meet	
VII	Discussion/Decision: 1. M. Senecal sent the for The changes recommended and Item 9 (degrees) - recommended Item 10 (certifications) - record Item 11 (transfers) - recommended We realize that items 9 and 11 put the bar too high too quicklic certificate target too low, since The Student Equity Plan and States 1. M. Senecal sent the formation of the student equity Plan and States Discussion of the student equity Plan and States 1. M. Senecal sent the formation of the student equity Plan and States 1. M. Senecal sent the formation of the student equity Plan and States 1. M. Senecal sent the formation of the student equity Plan and States 1. M. Senecal sent the formation of the student equity Plan and States 1. M. Senecal sent the formation of the student equity Plan and States 1. M. Senecal sent the formation of the student equity Plan and States 1. M. Senecal sent the formation of the student equity Plan and States 1. M. Senecal sent the student equity Plan and States 1	re: I changing from nmend changing nd changing fro targets are still y in case that you this is someth SSP plans both I stivities can be f	regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative targets. They have recommended changes in three targets. Objective : Consider recommendations and approve or return to Student Success Committee. eport regarding the IEPI suggestions from Student Success. 190 to 220. 190 to 220. 190 to 220. 10 to 200. I lower than the average finding for 13-14, but we don't want to ear was a "harvest" year. Also, we don't want to lower the ing that CTE programs are actively working on now. ist the specific activities that the college is engaging in to meet	
VII	Discussion/Decision: 1. M. Senecal sent the for The changes recommended and Item 9 (degrees) - recommended Item 10 (certifications) - record Item 11 (transfers) - recommended We realize that items 9 and 11 put the bar too high too quicklic certificate target too low, since The Student Equity Plan and St all 18 targets. The plans and act planning.yccd.edu/planningdo 2. Latimer responds that	re: I changing from nmend changing nd changing fro targets are still y in case that you this is someth SSP plans both I stivities can be for cuments.aspx these new targ	regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative targets. They have recommended changes in three targets. Objective : Consider recommendations and approve or return to Student Success Committee. eport regarding the IEPI suggestions from Student Success. 190 to 220. 190 to 220. 190 to 220. 10 wer than the average finding for 13-14, but we don't want to 10 wer than the average finding for 13-14, but we don't want to 10 to 200. 10 lower than the average finding for 13-14, but we don't want to 10 to 200. 10 to 200. 10 lower than the average finding for 13-14, but we don't want to 10 to 200. 10 state of the specific activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to specific activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to meet 10 to activities that the college is engaging in to activities that the college is 	
VII	Discussion/Decision: 1. M. Senecal sent the for The changes recommended and Item 9 (degrees) - recommended Item 10 (certifications) - record Item 11 (transfers) - recommended We realize that items 9 and 11 put the bar too high too quicklic certificate target too low, since The Student Equity Plan and St all 18 targets. The plans and act planning.yccd.edu/planningdo 2. Latimer responds that 3. Clark notes that as we	re: I changing from nmend changing nd changing fro targets are still y in case that you this is someth SSP plans both I stivities can be for cuments.aspx these new targ develop new co	regarding Institutional Effectiveness Partnership Initiative targets. They have recommended changes in three targets. Objective : Consider recommendations and approve or return to Student Success Committee. eport regarding the IEPI suggestions from Student Success. 190 to 220. 190 to 220. 190 to 220. 100 to 20. 100 to 20. 100 to 200. 100 wer than the average finding for 13-14, but we don't want to ear was a "harvest" year. Also, we don't want to lower the ing that CTE programs are actively working on now. ist the specific activities that the college is engaging in to meet found here: <u>http://wcc-</u>	

Motion to approve the revisions to the IEPI goals (MSC Gassman/Howerton)

	Formation of USI Cront Toom	Clark	Background: The administration asked for two faculty		
	Formation of HSI Grant Team – Information/Discussion	Clark	Background : The administration asked for two faculty members to work with two administrators to write this year's Title V (HSI) grant. Due to the scoring that will be used for this year's process, emphasis on DE and student services were requested. Clark asked to add a third faculty member be added representing the WCC Student Success Committee. Appointments have been made and a faculty member has		
			raised concern about the process and composition of the writing team. Objective : Discuss the concerns raised and consider further		
			steps.		
VIII	Discussion/Decision:		· · · ·		
	1. Clark reports that J. Brown, J. Vallejo, and S. Lanier were appointed to this grant writing team. M. Moreno had expressed concern to Clark that she be considered for the team as well. Latimer reports that classified staff also expressed disappointment at not being included on the team. Howerton notes that the entire campus should be consulted and that a draft should be reviewed by those outside the committee prior to submitting it. President White responds that the senate and College Council will see a draft of this in May, and that the larger campus will be consulted via email. He would like to keep the writing team as small as possible due to the targeted nature of the grant being pursued. Howerton would like to see the grant writing process follow a predictable pattern so that faculty are aware of needs and roles on committee will keep current membership rather than add members.				
	MQs and Equivalency Task Force Update – Information/Discussion	Clark	Background : DCAS tasked a group to review and update the district policies for MQs and equivalencies. Objective : Update the senate on the work to date of the task force, the part steps, and seek senate feedback		
іх	 force, the next steps, and seek senate feedback. Discussion/Decision: Clark reports that this committee has met twice and has made good progress. The state requires that MQs be updated every two years. They are currently being updated and going forward the district will update every two years as required. The last update was in 2010. Clark suggests that the WCC and YC senates have a calendar that asks us to review current MQs every two years and agendize this to review them and suggest changes. Both colleges will need to work together to implement equivalencies district-wide. Clark will work with YC Senate President Kemble on this and will request feedback of senators over the summer and next fall. A policy should be developed by Fall 2015. 				
x	AP 5055 Enrollment Priorities – Information/Discussion	Clark	 Background: The three associated student groups have requested their members be granted enrollment priority status at the same level as, for example athletes. There is also an update on the lingering issue of what units to count in determining enrollment status. Objective: Discuss the associated students' request with the intent of inform our senate vote at DCAS for such a proposal. Also, provide an update on the units to be used. 		
	Discussion/Decision:				
	preference is also to use	 A task force recommended making district units count in the enrollment status. The senate's preference is also to use district units. This is common throughout the state. 			
	 Clark reports that the three campus student government groups would like to have enrollment priority status similar to that of athletes. This would affect about 20 students across the district. The general consensus of the senate is to support this change. 				
	3. The general consensus of	the senate is	to support this change.		

	AP 4100 Graduation	Clark,			
	Requirements for Degrees and	McGill- Cameron	Background: All three APs are in some stage of updating.		
	Certificates, AP 4231 Grade	Cameron	Feedback is being sought.		
	Change, and AP 4105 Distance		Objective: Provide feedback and inform the senate vote in DCAS on each of the APs.		
	Education – Information		DCAS on each of the APS.		
VI	Discussion				
XI	Discussion/Decision:				
	1. The District DE group is working on AP 4105.				
	2. On AP 4231, the senate h				
		-	lth/P.E. requirement requires a C when the state requires a D.		
			o include this requirement for graduation if the college has		
			e of "successful" may be too ambiguous.		
	4. Clark will follow up and b				
	Plenary Debriefing, ASCCC	Clark,	Background: The ASCCC Spring Plenary took place April 9-11.		
	Work, and Senate Liasons –	Chetra	Among the resolutions passes were three that suggested that		
	Information/Discussion		local senates establish liaison positions for CTE, noncredit,		
			and legislation and a fourth suggesting that senates establish		
XII			a process for faculty recognition.		
			Objective: Continued discussion of the resolutions that were		
			passed and their impact on WCC. Discuss the need for the		
			recommended liaison positions. We will also discuss the		
			extent to which state issues should be part of our agendas		
	Discussion/Decision: Not discussed.				
	IEPI Recommendations from	Clark			
XIII	Student Success Committee -				
	Discussion/Action				
	Discussion/Decision: Not discussed.				
	Senate Goals Update –	Senate	Background: Annually the senate establishes goals.		
XIV	Information/Discussion		Objective: Update status of 2014-15 goals and begin		
			discussion of 20-15-16 goals.		
	Discussion/Decision: Not discussed.				
			Senate Meeting Schedule for 2015/16, Division Chairs,		
	Future Agenda Items-		College Catalog, SSS Priorities, Ed Master Plan, Committees,		
xv	Discussion		CCOF, WCC Committee Structure, CLC Transition, Constitution		
			& Bylaws, LAC Purpose Statement		
	Discussion/Decision: Not discuss	Discussion/Decision: Not discussed.			

Meeting adjourned 3:01 p.m.

Senate Goals, 2014-2015 (14 goals)					
#	Goal	Who	Status		
1	Curriculum: Create catalog/class schedule subcommittee (via curriculum and scheduling committees), increase faculty awareness, establish program development structure and incorporate with EMP, and create a DE handbook.	McGill, Howerton			
2	Communication: Advocate for increase in effective communication tools and access.	Howerton, Ferns	Continuing		
3	Grading Policies: Review, update, and distribute Faculty Handbook.	Clark	TBD		
4	Academic Standards: Initiate campus-wide discussion to promote rigor in coursework, DE, and collegiality among faculty, classified staff, administration, and students.	Clark, Bahneman, McGill-Cameron	TBD		
5	Student Success: Identify and address barriers to student success (counseling availability, course offerings).	Latimer, Clark, Bahneman	Student Equity Plan for 2013-14 has been completed and will help drive the work		
6	Faculty Development: Find more opportunities/activities focused on student success.	Chetra, McGill- Cameron	In progress		
7	Improve faculty evaluation process.	Clark, Howerton	In progress		
8	Work to create comprehensive process for Colusa County Outreach Facility (CCOF).	Clark, Chetra, Geer	Early in process		
9	Revise Senate Constitution and Bylaws.	Clark, McGill,	In progress		

	Senate Goals, 2014-2015 (14 goals)					
#	Goal	Who	Status			
		Gassman, Ferns				
10	Professional standards research	Clark	TBD			
11	Sustainability and grant funding	Clark, McGill-	TBD			
11	Sustainability and grant funding	Cameron				
12	Clearlake College transition	Clark, Howerton	In progress			
13	Update the Student Code of Conduct	Bahneman	TBD			
		Clark, Howerton	Response to Recommendations			
14	Accreditation		is nearly complete; work to			
			begin on Midterm Report			

Book:Administrative ProceduresSection:Chapter 4: Academic AffairsTitle:Graduation Requirements for Degrees and CertificatesNumber:AP 4100Status:ActiveLegalJuly 21, 2004Adopted:July 21, 2011

Reference: Education Code Section 70902(b)(3); Title 5, Sections 55060, et seq.

Degrees

The Woodland Community College Catalog and the Yuba College Catalog cite the specific requirements for Associate in Arts and Associate in Science degrees. Courses acceptable toward the associate degree include those that have been properly approved pursuant to Title 5, Section 55002(a), or, if completed at other than a California community college, would reasonably be expected to meet the standards of that section.

These requirements specify that students must:

- Pass the reading, writing, and mathematics competency examinations or equivalents listed in the respective college Catalog.
- Complete a minimum 18 units of general education, selecting from each of the six areas listed in the Catalog and at least 18 units in a single major/discipline or related disciplines listed in the California Community Colleges "Taxonomy of Programs."
- Complete the designated degree major courses with a grade of "C" or better. Majors are listed in the Catalog.
- Complete the Health/Physical Education requirement with a grade of "C" or better as listed in the Catalog. Students
 who will be completing degrees in the Allied Health areas, Associate in Arts for Transfer (AA-T), Associate in Science
 for Transfer (AS-T), and students who submit documentation of active military service are exempt from this
 requirement.
- Complete the Multi-cultural Graduation Requirement (MGR) with a grade of "C" or better as listed in the Catalog. Students who will be completing Associate in Arts for Transfer (AA-T) or Associate in Science for Transfer (AS-T) degrees are exempt from this requirement.
- Students may petition to have noncredit courses counted toward the satisfaction of requirements for an associate degree.
- Complete a minimum of 60 semester units in lower division associate degree level courses with at least a 2.0 ("C") grade point average.
- Complete a minimum of 12 semester units with at least a 2.0 ("C") grade point average in associate degree level classes in the College.
- File a petition card as a Candidate for Graduation by the College deadline.

Certificates

Requirements for certificate programs are included in the Woodland Community College Catalog and Yuba College Catalog. The Curriculum Committees provide oversight to

ensure that certificate programs are consistent with the mission of each College, meet the needs of students and the communities served, and adhere to guidelines of academic achievement. In general, for a certificate of achievement, a student must

- Complete a course of study that consists of 18 or more semester units of degree-applicable coursework with at least a 2.0 ("C") grade point average.
 - For shorter credit programs that lead to a certificate, see the appropriate College Catalog.
 - Complete a minimum of 3 semester units at the certificate-awarding College.
 - A certificate with program or accreditation regulations may require exceptions to the 3-unit minimum.

Revised: 8/29/2011; 9/29/2008; 12/17/2007; 12/01/2006 Adopted: 7/21/2004